

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/762,054		01/21/2004	Yoshihiro Tsukamura	I/O Software	4491
4054	7590	10/11/2006		EXAMINER	
VINCENT			JUNG, DAVID YIUK		
C/O VISCO P.O. BOX 3		TRIES, INC.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EAST HAN		NJ 07936	2134		
				DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/762	,054	TSUKAMURA, Y	TSUKAMURA, YOSHIHIRO				
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit					
		David Y		2134					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on t	the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress				
- WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M asions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ded patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUI event, however, may will expire SIX (6) N application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>21 January 20</u>	004.						
2a)□	•	2b)⊠ This action is							
3)□	Since this application is in condition	for allowance exce	pt for formal m	atters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is				
ŕ	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims		•						
4)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or	b) ☐ objected	to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is requ	uired if the drawi	ing(s) is objected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	Note the attach	ned Office Action or form F	PTO-152.				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of:			C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority			Application No.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority3. Copies of the certified copies				al Stago				
	application from the Internation	•		en received in this Matione	a Stage				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	•		not received.					
•					·				
.				•					
Attachmen			A) 🗀 Intondo	w Summary (PTO-413)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) to of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	Paper N	No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	•	5) Notice 6	of Informal Patent Application					

Application/Control Number: 10/762,054

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

CLAIMS PRESENTED

Claims 1-11 are presented.

PRO SE (without attorney) APPLICANT

An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. For example, the issues listed in claim rejections may have delayed prosecution of this patent application.

Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent. A listing of registered patent attorneys and agents is available on the USPTO Internet web site http://www.uspto.gov in the Site Index under "Attorney and Agent Roster." Applicants may also obtain a list of registered patent attorneys and agents located in their area by writing to the Mail Stop OED, Director of the U. S. Patent and Trademark Office, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If Applicant chooses to prosecute his own application, then Applicant may choose to use information in the USPTO Internet web site http://www.uspto.gov which gives some guidance for applicants.

CLAIM REJECTIONS

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

All claims recite "in accordance with the RSA cryptographic method described in US patent 4,405,829." This is improper. Applicant is requested to actually recite (by listing all features of the RSA method that Applicant wishes to include in the claim) the actual subject matter which Applicant regards as his invention, rather than try to use a shorthand reference such as "in accordance with the RSA cryptographic method described in US patent 4,405,829."

In addition, Applicant is requested to write out the terms referred by the reference characters. For example, "key pair Do, Eo" of claim 1 is better written as "a first private key (Do)" and "a first public key (Eo)". In U.S. patent practice, the terms "a first", "a second", etc. are used to distinguish items. For example, the term "a first apparatus" would refer to an item that is different from "a second apparatus." Often, as long as a claim particularly points out and distinctly claims the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention, "a first" (such as "a first apparatus") is permitted even if there is no "a second" (such as "a second apparatus").

Application/Control Number: 10/762,054

Art Unit: 2134

On reference characters, Applicant is advised as following. The reference

characters should be enclosed within parentheses such as "()". Reference characters

corresponding to elements recited in the detailed description of the drawings and used

in conjunction with the recitation of the same element or group of elements in the claims

should be enclosed within parentheses so as to avoid confusion with other numbers or

characters which may appear in the claims. See MPEP § 608.01(m).

Because of the impossibility of judging the scope of claims at this moment, the

Office cannot issue a decision involving the prior art in this Office Action. The

examination on the merits is precluded in this Office Action (albeit possible in the next

Office Action upon presentation of proper claims).

Conclusion

The art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. The art disclosed general background.

Points of Contact

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

(571) 273-8300, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(571) 27<u>3</u>-3836 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Jung whose telephone number is (571) 272-3836 or Jacques Louis-Jacques whose telephone number is (571) 272-6962.

David Jung

Patent Examiner

10/1/06